

## United States Department of the Interior

IN REPLY REFER TO: 4190 (ORV000) HJ8A BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT Vale District Office 100 Oregon Street Vale, Oregon 97918

OCT 28 2013

Dear Interested Public:

# NOTICE OF FIELD MANAGER'S FINAL DECISION STOCKADE FIRE ESR PLAN

#### **BACKGROUND**

During the summer of 2013, several lightning caused fires burned within the Vale District, Bureau of Land Management (BLM), including the Stockade Fire. It ignited on June 10, 2013, and was contained on June 15, 2013. The fire burned a total of 6,614 acres (See Map 1). It burned 2,561 acres of land administered by the BLM, 937 acres of state land and 3,116 acres of private land (Map 2). The burn is located approximately 36 miles north of Burns Junction, Oregon. An Emergency Stabilization and Rehabilitation (ES&R) plan was completed for the entire burn.

The entire area within the fire perimeter is currently occupied by Greater Sage-Grouse and is key habitat considered Preliminary General Habitat (PGH) (See Map 3). There are 1,273 acres of identified mule deer habitat.

Within a week of the containment date of the fire, the Vale District assembled an interdisciplinary (ID) team of specialists and within 21 days of containment, this ID team developed an Emergency Stabilization and Rehabilitation Plan (hereafter referred to as the Plan) containing several treatments necessary for the stabilization and rehabilitation of the burned area within the Vale District.

The ES&R Plan was submitted for approval and funding to the BLM's Washington Office (WO) through the Emergency Stabilization and Rehabilitation System (ESRS). The ES&R Plan was approved by the WO on July 22, 2013.

## INTRODUCTION

Between June 10, 2013, and June 15, 2013, the Stockade Fire burned 6,614 acres of public land administered by BLM, 937 acres of state land, and 2,561 acres of private land. The chart below shows the amount of acres within special designated areas that burned during the Stockade Fire.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>PPH and PGH data and maps have been developed through a collaborative effort between the BLM and the respective state wildlife agencies and are stored at the National Operations Center (NOC).

Special Designated Area	Acres Burned
Greater Sage-Grouse Preliminary General Habitat (PGH)	6,614

The Stockade Fire burned within the following grazing allotments: 5,270 acres (5%) of the Turnbull allotment (#00303) and 292 acres (.3%) of the McEwen (#20603) allotment. The chart below shows the amount in acres of the allotments that burned (see Map 4).

ALLOT NUM	ALLOTMENT NAME	ALLOT ACRES	ACRES BURNED	ALLOTMENT % BURNED
00303	Turnbull	106,943	5,270	5%
20603	McEwen	106,821	292	.3%

#### COMPLIANCE

The Plan was prepared under the guidance of and is consistent with the Burned Area Emergency Stabilization and Rehabilitation Handbook H-1742-1. The treatments in the Plan are the same as the proposed actions described in the Vale District Normal Emergency Stabilization and Rehabilitation Plan (NFESRP) Environmental Assessment (EA) # OR-030-05-005. The EA was completed in 2005. The EA analyzed the potential impacts to implementing the proposed action and alternatives and determined there would not be a significant impact to the human environment and prepared a Finding of No Significant Impacts (FONSI) Decision Record.

Because the treatments analyzed in the NFESRP EA are the same as the Plan, BLM compared the Plan with the analysis found in the NFESRP EA and determined that the analysis was sufficient and new NEPA analysis was not necessary. BLM documented this review and prepared a Determination of NEPA Adequacy (DNA) # DOI-BLM-OR-V060-2013-053 prior to the approval of the Plan and the issuance of this decision. The NFESRP EA and FONSI and the DNA documents can be viewed at: <a href="http://www.blm.gov/or/districts/vale/plans/index.php">http://www.blm.gov/or/districts/vale/plans/index.php</a>. If you wish to receive hard copies of these documents, they are available upon request at the Vale District Office, (541) 473-3144.

The treatments described in the Plan, as analyzed in the Vale District NFESRP EA, is consistent with the Southeastern Oregon Resource Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement and Record of Decision, Sept. 2002. The Plan's treatments have been designed to conform to the following documents, which direct and provide the framework for management of BLM lands within Vale District:

- Federal Land Policy and Management Act (43 U.S.C. 1901), 1978
- The National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4320-4347), 1970
- Southeastern Oregon Resource Management Plan and Record of Decision (2002)
- Taylor Grazing Act (43 U.S.C. 315), 1934
- Vale District Normal Emergency Stabilization and Rehabilitation Plan (NFESRP) Environmental Assessment (EA) # OR-030-05-005.
- August 12, 1997 Standards for Rangeland Health and Guidelines for Livestock Management for Public Lands, Administered by the BLM in the States of Oregon and Washington

- 2007 Vegetation Treatments Using Herbicides on BLM Lands in 17 Western States ROD
- 2010 Vegetation Treatments Using Herbicides on BLM Lands in Oregon ROD
- Greater Sage-Grouse and Sagebrush-steppe Ecosystems Management Guidelines (BLM-2000)
- National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470)
- Programmatic Agreement Among USDI BLM, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation and the Oregon State Historic Preservation Officer Regarding the Identification, Evaluation, and Treatment of Historic Properties Managed by the BLM, Oregon State Office, Throughout the State of Oregon
- Executive Order 12372, Intergovernmental Review
- Executive Order 13112, Invasive Species
- BLM National Sage-grouse Habitat Conservation Strategy (2004)
- Instruction Memorandum WO-2012-043, Greater Sage-Grouse Interim Management Policies and Procedures issued December 22, 2011
- A Report on National Greater Sage-Grouse Conservation Measures, Produced by: Sage-grouse National Technical Team, December 21, 2011
- Greater Sage-Grouse Conservation Assessment and Strategy for Oregon: A plan to Maintain and Enhance Populations and Habitat; ODFW, April 22, 2011
- State, local, and Tribal laws, regulations, and land use plans
- SEORMP Settlement Agreement (Case 05-35931, June 10, 2010) between Vale District BLM and Oregon Natural Desert Association (ONDA) resulting from Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals decision (*ONDA v. BLM*, 625 F.3d 1092 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2010).
- BLM Manual 6330, Management of BLM Wilderness Study Areas, July 13, 2012
- Instruction Memorandum WO-2011-154, Requirement to Conduct and Maintain Inventory Information for Wilderness Characteristics and to Consider Lands with Wilderness Characteristics in Land Use Plans.
- Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. 1531-1544), 1973

## FINAL DECISION

I have determined that the vegetation, soil, and other resources on the public lands are at immediate risk of erosion and other damage due to the 2013 Stockade wildfire. This decision is effective immediately due to the soils susceptibility to accelerated erosion due to the effects of the wildland fire. The burn area is vulnerable to the expansion or invasion by highly competitive noxious and/or invasive annuals, biennials, and perennial weeds. There has been loss or damage to habitat for Greater Sage-Grouse.

DNA # DOI-BLM-OR-V060-2013-053 addressed the treatments identified in the Plan and I have determined that it was consistent with the analysis in the NFESRP EA and FONSI. The treatments listed in the ES&R Plan are listed below.

I have determined that implementing the Plan's treatments as analyzed in the NFESRP EA did not require the preparation of an environmental impact statement, as set out in the FONSI.

I have determined that implementation of the treatments described in the Plan does not constitute a major Federal action that will adversely impact the quality of the human environment. Therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement is not necessary and will not be prepared.

Based on analysis, comments from the public and input from my staff, it is my final decision to implement the treatments listed in the Plan and summarized below.

My decision is issued under 43 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 4190.1(a), which states:

Notwithstanding the provisions of 43 CFR 4.21(a) (1), when BLM determines that vegetation, soil, or other resources on the public lands are at substantial risk of wildfire due to drought, fuels buildup, or other reasons, or at immediate risk of erosion or other damage due to wildfire, BLM may make a rangeland wildfire management decision effective immediately or on a date established in the decision.

#### **PLAN TREATMENTS**

Below is a table of the projects that have been identified to stabilize and rehabilitate lands affected by Stockade Fire. Maps of the treatment locations are also attached.

Treatments	Amount or scope	Implementation year <sup>2</sup>
Noxious weed inventory	2,561 acres	2014-2016
Noxious weed treatment	100 acres	2014-2016
Repair existing fences (Map 5)	6 miles	2015

#### RATIONALE

## Survey and treat noxious weeds

There are scattered populations of noxious weeds in the burn area and general vicinity of the fire, including Russian knapweed (*Acroptilon repens*), perennial pepperweed (*Lepidium latifolium*), whitetop species (*Lepidium ssp*), and Scotch thistle (*Onopordum acanthium*).

Invasive species, including cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*), various annual mustards, including tumble mustard (*Sisymbrium altissimum*) and clasping pepperweed (*Lepidium perfoliatum*), Russian thistle (*Salsola kali*), etc., are common throughout the lower area.

In the absence of competition, the burn area would be extremely vulnerable to expansion or invasion by any of these highly competitive noxious and/or invasive annuals, biennials, and perennial weed species. Weed control within the burn area would help prevent invasive/noxious species from dominating the site.

Noxious weed inventory and treatment would help to control existing populations, help discover new populations, and reduce the risk of further establishment of noxious weeds. Initial treatments

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The years in which these treatments will be implemented are subject to funding availability.

would begin in FY 2014; in FY 2015 and 2016, the noxious weeds inventory and treatment would be included as a rehabilitation treatment. Chemical treatment of noxious weed populations and closing the area to livestock would reduce the likelihood of their spread to new unoccupied areas and help to re-establish higher quality vegetation. Noxious weeds also threaten adjacent private range and agricultural lands. Furthermore, noxious weed infestations have little to no value to wildlife or livestock and are considered one of the greatest threats to loss of sage-grouse habitat.

Noxious weeds are the first plants to reestablish following a wildfire and take advantage of the vulnerability of the fire weakened and stressed desired species. The objective of the noxious weed treatment and survey is to continue treating previously known infestation sites and identify and treat new sites to halt the spread of noxious weeds in the burned area. The identified weeds are present in the burned area and if not treated, are expected to increase due to the removal of existing vegetation by the Stockade Fire. Past treatments in the area have been relatively successful and by continuing to inventory and treat infestation and introductory sites the frequency of noxious weeds is expected to be reduced.

Noxious weed treatments would be consistent with the guidelines set forth in the ESR handbook (1742-1, pages 34–35), the SEORMP&ROD (page 41), 2002, the Vale District Integrated Weed Control Plan EA (1989), the Northwest Area Noxious Weed Control Program EIS 1984, and Supplement, 1987 and the Standard Operating Procedures and Mitigation Measures identified in the Vegetation Treatments Using Herbicides on BLM Lands in Oregon FEIS and ROD (2010). Pesticide Use Proposals (plans) would be prepared for weeds treatments and comply with policy (BLM Manual 9011, H-9011, and 9015).

## Repairing livestock management fence

Approximately six miles of livestock management fences were damaged by the fire. Most of these fences were constructed of steel posts and barbed wire that were not damaged by the fire. However, many of the corners, stretch panels and gate posts were constructed of wood. Many of these wooden posts burned in the fire and will be replaced. Instead of using wood, they will be replaced with steel posts or something similar, such as angle iron or rock cribs, so that they will not be damaged by any wildfires that may occur in the future.

The repair of livestock management fences is a proposed action and adequately analyzed in the NFESRP EA (page 12). The Proposed Action, Repair/Replace Minor Facilities Essential to Public Health and Safety section, states that repair or replacement of minor facilities such as structural damage to recreational facilities, fences, gates, watering troughs, wildlife guzzlers and livestock handling facilities that were damaged by fire may be repaired under rehabilitation. On page 11 of the NFESRP EA, under the Proposed Action, Protective Fence section, it states that the success of natural recovery or re-vegetation often depends on exclusion of grazing. Also, gates, cattleguards, fences, and other control features would be repaired and /or constructed as needed to protect treatments during the recovery period.

The SEORMP Rangeland/Grazing Use objective is to: Provide for a sustained level of livestock grazing consistent with other resource objectives and public land use allocations. Management actions listed to meet this objective include maintaining existing structural rangeland projects where beneficial to livestock and other resource values (page 59).

## Closing the burned area to livestock

This final decision does not close any burned areas to livestock grazing or otherwise affect the grazing privileges of any of the holders of livestock grazing permits. A separate grazing decision(s) or agreement(s) will be issued as necessary to address the exclusion of livestock as a result of the Stockade Fire. Any grazing closure decisions will have a separate and different appeal process.

## **RIGHT OF APPEAL**

This decision may be appealed to the Interior Board of Land Appeals, Office of Hearings and Appeals, in accordance with the regulations contained in 43 CFR, Part 4 and Form 1842-1. If an appeal is filed, your notice must be filed in the Vale District Office, 100 Oregon Street, Vale, Oregon, 97918 within 30 days of receipt. The appellant has the burden of showing that the decision appealed is in error.

Filing an appeal does not by itself stay the effectiveness of a final BLM decision. If you wish to file a petition for a stay of the effectiveness of this decision, pursuant to 43 CFR 4.21, the petition for stay must accompany your notice of appeal. If you request a stay, you have the burden of proof to demonstrate that a stay should be granted.

A petition for stay is required to show sufficient justification based on the standards listed below.

## **Standards for Obtaining a Stay**

Except as otherwise provided by law or other pertinent regulation, a petition for a stay of a decision pending appeal shall show sufficient justification based on the following standards:

- 1. The relative harm to the parties if the stay is granted or denied.
- 2. The likelihood of the appellant's success on the merits.
- 3. The likelihood of immediate and irreparable harm if the stay is not granted.
- 4. Whether or not the public interest favors granting the stay.

A notice of appeal electronically transmitted (e.g. email, facsimile, or social media) will not be accepted as an appeal. Also, a petition for stay that is electronically transmitted (e.g., email, facsimile, or social media) will not be accepted as a petition for stay. Both of these documents must be received on paper at the office address above.

Persons named in the <u>Copies sent to:</u> sections of this decision are considered to be persons "named in the decision from which the appeal is taken." Thus, copies of the notice of appeal and petition for a stay must also be served on these parties, in addition to any party who is named elsewhere in this decision (see 43 CFR 4.413(a) & 43 CFR 4.21(b)(3)) and the appropriate Office of the Solicitor (see 43 CFR 4.413(a), (c)) at the same time the original documents are filed with this office. For privacy reasons, if the decision is posted on the internet, the <u>Copies sent to:</u> section will be attached to a notification of internet availability and persons named in that section are also considered to be persons "named in the decision from which the appeal is taken."

Any person named in the decision, <u>Copies sent to:</u> section of the decision, or who received a notification of internet availability that receives a copy of a petition for a stay and/or an appeal and wishes to respond, see 43 CFR 4.21(b) for procedures to follow.


Sincerely,


Thomas Patrick "Pat" Ryan


Field Manager


Jordan/Malheur Resource Areas


cc: Copies Sent to: see Notice of Internet Availability











0.75 1.5 0.375 600 1,200 2,400 Miles ■ Meters

OREGON